BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

1 2

In the Matter of

3 |

SAM HOCHANE, M.D.,

5

6

7

4

Holder of License No. 32092 for the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-10A-32092-MDX

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

8 || 9 || f

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

On February 9, 2011, this matter came before the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") for consideration of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Brian Brendan Tully's proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order. Sam Hochane, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared before the Board; Assistant Attorney General Anne Froedge, represented the State. Christopher Munns with the Solicitor General's Section of the Attorney General's Office, was present and available to provide independent legal advice to the Board.

The Board, having considered the ALJ's decision and the entire record in this matter, hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") is the authority for licensing and regulating the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Sam Hochane, M.D. ("Respondent") is the holder of License No. 32092 issued by the Board for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

Case No. MD-07-1009A

- 3. In November 2007, the Board received a complaint from M.A., a patient of Respondent, alleging that Respondent inappropriately touched her during an examination.
- 4. Respondent denied sexually touching M.A.

5. Case No. MD-07-1009A was dismissed based upon the following factor: "[A] psychosexual evaluation concluded that the sexual risk was moderate to low, and there were no witnesses present during the incident."

Case No. MD-09-0602A

- 6. On December 2, 2009, the Board issued an Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same against Respondent in Case No. MD-09-0602A, the terms of which are incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. Case No. MD-09-0602A involved a complaint by patient D.F. that Respondent had sexually assaulted her during treatment for severe migraines on April 24, 2009.
- 8. Although Respondent denied any sexual contact with D.F. during the treatment, Respondent made statements that he acted inappropriately to D.F. in a recorded telephone conversation with D.F.
- 9. Finding of Fact No. 6 of the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same stated in part the following:

On June 17, 2009, Respondent presented for a comprehensive psychosexual evaluation [relating to Case No. MD-09-0602A]. The evaluators' diagnostic impressions were that there was professional sexual misconduct and intensive residential treatment program prior to returning to practice was recommended.

- 10. Finding of Fact No. 6 of the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same also noted that "[o]n that same date, Respondent signed a practice restriction prohibiting him practicing clinical medicine or any medicine involving direct patient care, and from prescribing any form of treatment including prescription medications, until Respondent applies to the Board and receives permission to do so."
- 11. The Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same ordered the following:

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Decree of Censure.
- 2. Respondent's practice is restricted in that he shall only see male patients for a minimum of six months.

- 3. Respondent is placed on probation for five years with the following terms and conditions.
 - a. Respondent shall enter a contract with a Board preapproved monitoring company to provide all monitoring services. Respondent shall bear all costs of monitoring requirements and services.
 - immediately obtain individual b. Respondent shall psychotherapy with an approved psychotherapist who specializes in sexual issues. Respondent shall comply with the psychotherapist's recommendations for continued care instruct and treatment. Respondent shall psychotherapist to release, upon request, all records relating to Respondent's treatment regarding diagnosis, prognosis, medications, and recommendations for continuing care and treatment of Respondent. Respondent shall provide the psychotherapist with a copy of this order. After twelve months, Respondent may submit a written request to the Executive Director requesting termination of the requirement that Respondent remain in treatment with a psychotherapist. The decision to terminate will be based, in part, upon the treating psychotherapist's recommendation for continued care and treatment.
 - c. Respondent shall attend biweekly group therapy sessions unless excused by the Executive Director for good cause such as illness or vacation. Respondent shall instruct the group therapy facilitator to release, upon request, all records relating to Respondent's treatment, attendance, and progress.
 - d. Respondent shall participate in a 12-step recovery program to address his sexual issues. Respondent shall attend a minimum of two 12-step meetings per week. One of those meetings may be on-line. Additionally, Respondent shall attend Caduceus meetings biweekly. Respondent must maintain a log of all meetings. Board Staff will provide the log to Respondent.
 - e. Respondent shall establish and participate in the Professional Enhancement Program (PEP) at Pine Grove care monitoring in the workplace.
 - f. Respondent shall within six months after the date of this Order present to PEP for a re-evaluation and determination of future workplace recommendations, including, but not limited to an assessment to determine whether Respondent can see female patients and whether he needs a chaperone. Respondent shall instruct PEP to release, upon request, all records relating to Respondent's treatment

- regarding diagnosis, prognosis, and recommendations for continuing care and treatment of Respondent.
- g. Respondent shall submit to polygraph testing every six months.
- h. Respondent shall within six months of the effective date of this Order obtain 10-15 hours of pre-approved Category I Continuing Medical Education (CME) in boundaries and 10-15 hours in prescribing. Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion as satisfactory proof of attendance. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for the biennial renewal of medical license.
- i. Respondent's medical practice should include patient satisfaction surveys that explore levels of comfortableness with Respondent.
- j. Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- k. In the event Respondent should leave Arizona to reside or practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in Arizona, Respondent shall notify the Executive Director in writing within ten days of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within Arizona. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days during which Respondent is not engaging in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside of Arizona or of non-practice within Arizona, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.
- 12. On October 30, 2009, Respondent executed the Consent to Entry of Order, wherein he acknowledged, among other things, that "Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order (Order)."
- 13. Respondent agreed to paragraph 10 of the Consent to Entry of Order, which reads as follows:

Any violation of this Order constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. §§ 32-1401(27)(r) ("[V]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under this chapter") and 32-1451.

1		Respondent's Felony Convictions
2	14.	In May 2010, Respondent pled guilty to one count of Sexual Abuse, a Class 5
3		felony, committed against D.F. on April 24, 2009, one count of Sexual Abuse, a
		Class 5 felony, committed against M.A. on November 7, 2007, in Case No.
4		CR20090549.
5	15.	The Superior Court sentenced Respondent to incarceration in the county jail for a
6		period of six months, beginning on January 15, 2011.
7	16.	The Superior Court also sentenced Respondent to probation for a period of seven
		years, commencing on May 18, 2010.
8	17.	Condition No. 28 of Respondent's criminal probation provided for the following:
9		A. Abide by terms of Medical Board Probation.
10		B. If allowed to work after conviction not allowed to see female patients.
11		C. Must notify Arizona Medical Board of conviction within 72 hours.
12		
13		Case No. MD-10-0768A
14	18.	After receiving notice of Respondent's criminal felony convictions, Board staff
15		opened Case No. MD-10-0768A against Respondent.
1 7 1	1	openion care the transfer to a property of the care to a property of t
	19.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open
16	19.	
	19.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open
16 17	19.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the
16 17 18	19.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges,"
16 17 18 19	19.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements
16 17 18 19		By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj).
16		By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report
16 17 18 19 20	20.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report dated August 23, 2010, in Case No. MD-10-0768A.
16 17 18 19 20 21	20.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report dated August 23, 2010, in Case No. MD-10-0768A. In that Investigative Report, Ms. Young expressed the following conclusion
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	20.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report dated August 23, 2010, in Case No. MD-10-0768A. In that Investigative Report, Ms. Young expressed the following conclusion regarding the allegation that Respondent knowingly made false statements to the Board: During an interview conducted in November 2007 with Dr.
16 17 18 19 20 21	20.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report dated August 23, 2010, in Case No. MD-10-0768A. In that Investigative Report, Ms. Young expressed the following conclusion regarding the allegation that Respondent knowingly made false statements to the Board: During an interview conducted in November 2007 with Dr. Hochane, he denied sexually touching Patient M.A. during her
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	20.	By letter dated June 4, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of an open investigation in Case No. MD-10-0768A. Respondent was informed of the following initial allegations: "1. Committing and pleading guilty to felony charges," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r), and "2. Knowingly making false statements to the board," in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj). The Board's assigned investigator, Marlene Young, issued an Investigative Report dated August 23, 2010, in Case No. MD-10-0768A. In that Investigative Report, Ms. Young expressed the following conclusion regarding the allegation that Respondent knowingly made false statements to the Board: During an interview conducted in November 2007 with Dr.

Hochane also pled guilty in May 2010 to the sexual abuse of 1 Patient M.A. that occurred in November 2007....Dr. 2 Hochane's failure to admit to inappropriately touching Patient M.A. when the Board was investigating this matter; [sic] and 3 later, entering to a plea of guilty for sexual abuse of Patient M.A. supports the following statutory violation¹ by a 4 preponderance of evidence. [Footnote added.] 5 Ms. Young further expressed the following conclusion regarding the allegation that 22. 6 Respondent committed a felony: 7 In May 2010, Dr. Hochane pled guilty to two counts of felonious sexual abuse that occurred in April 2009 [D.F.] and 8 November 2007 [M.A.]....Dr. Hochane also submitted a 9 response admitting to the charges....Therefore, the following statutory violation² is supported by a preponderance of 10 evidence..." [Footnote added.] 11 Case No. MD-10-0904A 12 regarding Respondent's 23. The Board opened Case No. MD-10-0904A 13 unprofessional conduct in failing to comply with the terms of the Board's Order for 14 Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same in Case No. MD-09-0602A. 15 By letter dated July 7, 2010, Board staff informed Respondent of the following 24. 16 allegation in Case No. MD-10-0904A: violation of the Board's Order in Case No. 17 MD-09-0602A due to Respondent's failure to attend group therapy, failure to 18 obtain required CME, and failing a polygraph test as part of a re-evaluation. 19 25. From June 2, 2010 to June 8, 2010, Respondent was evaluated by PEP. Respondent's Discharge Summary from PEP contained the following diagnosis: 26. 20 Occupational Problem - Professional Sexual Misconduct -Axis I 21 Frotteurism Narcissistic Personality Disorder Axis II 22 Axis III None reported Axis IV Psychosocial stressors were severe and included financial 23

25 1 A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj)

24

difficulties and medical practice restrictions

² A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(d)

- 3
- 45
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

- 1516
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 2.4
- 25

- 27. The PEP Discharge Summary described the following concerns for Respondent:
 - Lack of honesty in describing his boundary violations. For example, following pleading guilty to 2 counts of sexual battery in an AZ courtroom, Dr. Hochane did not return to the AZ Medical Board to correct his earlier dishonesty regarding the violation of patient MA.
 - 2. He denied understanding why a Prescribing Boundaries CME was ever recommended.
 - 3. He maintained several cognitive distortions around his limitations. For example, he stated he had learned everything he needed to learn around his violations because he would not be "stupid" enough to ever do this again.
 - 4. He maintained a victim stance when describing his situation instead of taking responsibility for his sexual boundary violations. Specifically, when discussing his issues in the groups he would go into great detail of his financial hardship while omitting his sexual boundary violations. In addition, he initially limited his disclosure to one event with one victim and had to be asked by the staff and peers for him to take ownership of other violations.
 - 5. He was disrespectful of staff, particularly females.
- 28. The PEP staff made the following recommendation in the Discharge Summary:
 - Dr. Hochane should maintain his restriction of seeing male patients only. He demonstrated a continued lack of self examination, which only increases his potential of future revictimization of patients and staff. Because of this and his limited insight, lack of understanding the severity of his boundary violations and untreated narcissism, it is recommended that Dr. Hochane increase his treatment contact, including more frequent individual and group sessions. This limited contact of meeting with his therapist every other week does not allow for the treatment of his issues at this time. In order to provide higher levels of accountability and supplement his current treatment, at least weekly contact in group and individual therapy is recommended.
- 29. The PEP staff also made the following return to work recommendations for Respondent:
 - 1. Follow all guidelines and recommendations of the Arizona Board of Medical Examiners [sic].

- 2. Continue to see male patients only for one year.
- 3. In one year, return to PEP for a reevaluation and determination of future workplace recommendations.
- 4. Continue the polygraph examination every 6 months.
- 5. Continue use of patient satisfaction surveys that explore levels of comfortableness with Dr. Hochane.
- 30. As required by the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same, Respondent entered into a contract with Affiliated Monitors, Inc. ("AMI") to provide all monitoring services. AMI provided a report to the Board dated July 6, 2010, in which AMI stated that Respondent had violated the terms of the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same by failing to attend individual and group therapy as ordered, failing to continue his participation in a 12-step recovery program, and failing to complete the CME courses as ordered.
- 31. On September 15, 2010, Board staff received another report from AMI that indicated Respondent's continued non-compliance with the terms of the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same. Specifically, AMI reported that Respondent did not participate in group therapy, individual psychotherapy, or the 12-step or Caduceus Program, nor did he obtain the CME courses ordered by the Board.
- 32. Respondent contends that he could no longer comply with the terms of the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same due to financial constraint.
- 33. Respondent is determined to have violated the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same without legal justification. Respondent consented to the terms of his probation, but he failed to comply with those terms.
- Respondent knowingly made a false statement to the Board in Case No. MD-07-1009A when he stated that he had not sexually touched patient M.A. as she alleged.

- 35. Respondent's contention that he should be permitted to resume practicing medicine treating female patients while being chaperoned is not justified by the evidence. First, Respondent had failed to comply with the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same. At hearing, Tina Taylor testified for Respondent. At the time of the incident involving D.F., Ms. Taylor was present in Respondent's office, where she worked for another physician, when Respondent sexually touched D.F. during treatment. Even with a staff member present, Respondent was able to commit the crime of sexual abuse against D.F.
- 36. Respondent's failure to comply with the Board's Order for Decree of Censure and Probation and Consent to Same, his denial to the Board of inappropriately touching M.A., and his felony convictions for sexually abusing patients M.A. and D.F. constitute threats to the public health, safety or welfare warranting the Board's emergency action of summarily suspending Respondent's medical license.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter in Case Nos. MD-10-0904A and MD-10-0768A.
- 2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G) (2) and A.A.C. R2-19-119(B), the Board has the burden of proof in these matters. The standard of proof is preponderance of the evidence. A.A.C. R2-19-119(A).
- 3. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-1401(27) (d), 32-1401(27) (z), 32-1401(27) (r), and 32-1401(27) (jj). The evidence of record supports this conclusion.
- 4. The evidence of record supports the Board's summary suspension of Respondent's medical license to protect the public health, safety or welfare pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).

ORDER

The Board's summary suspension of Respondent's License No. 32092 in Case Nos. MD-10-0904A and MD-10-0768A is upheld and affirmed.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Respondent's License No. 32092 shall be revoked on the effective date of the Order entered in Case Nos. MD-10-0904A and MD-10-0768A.

Respondent shall be assessed the costs of the formal hearing, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(M). Payment of those costs shall be due no later than 60 days from the date of invoicing by the Board, unless the Board or its designee amends that deadline date.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

day of February 2011. DATED this amminini,

THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

LISA WYNN

Executive Director

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this Max day of February, 2011 with:

Arizona Medical Board 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

1	
2	COPY OF THE FOREGOING FILED this day of February, 2011 with:
3	Cliff J. Vanell, Director
4	Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 W. Washington, Ste 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	,
6	Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Mail this day of February, 2011 to:
7	
8	Sam Hochane, M.D. Address of Record
9	Anne Froedge Assistant Attorney General
10	Office of the Attorney General
11	1275 W. Washington Phoepix AZ 85007
12	Mrs Dang
13	,
14	· ·
15	# 1420922
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	